

10.1 Higher Sobolev Spaces

We know that $H^0(\mathbb{S}) = L^2(\mathbb{S})$. We can iteratively define $f \in H^k(\mathbb{S})$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow f \in H^{k-1}(\mathbb{S})$ and $\frac{d^{k-1}f}{dx^{k-1}} \in H^1(\mathbb{S})$.

So for $f \in H^k(\mathbb{S})$, define

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}) \ni \frac{d^k f}{dx^k} \equiv \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d^{k-1} f}{dx^{k-1}} \in L^2(\mathbb{S})$$

Theorem. $f \in H^k(\mathbb{S})$ if and only if f has weak derivatives of all order $l \leq k$. That is $\exists h_l \in L^1(\mathbb{S})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} f \frac{d^l \varphi}{dx^l} = (-1)^l \int_{\mathbb{S}} h_l \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$$

Proof.

$$\int f \frac{d^k \varphi}{dx^k} dx = \int f \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right) dx = (-1)^{k-1} \int \underbrace{h_{k-1} \frac{d\varphi}{dx}}_{dh^{k-1}/dx^{k-1}} = (-1)^k \int h_k \varphi$$

□

Theorem. $f \in H^k(\mathbb{S}) \Leftrightarrow f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^{2k} |c_n|^2 < \infty$

Proof. Just use induction. □

Definition. All these $H^k(\mathbb{S})$ are Hilbert spaces with the norms

$$\|f\|_{H^k}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + n^2 + n^4 + \dots + n^{2k}) |c_n|^2$$

This is equivalent to the (Hilbert) Norm

$$\|f\|_{H^k}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + n^{2k}) |c_n|^2 \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + n^2)^k |c_n|^2$$

This "makes sense" for $k \in [0, \infty)$.

Definition. For $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ define $H^r(\mathbb{S}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $f \in H^r(\mathbb{S})$ if $\exists f_p \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S})$, $f_p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ and $\|f_p\|_{H^r}^2 = \sum(1+n^2)^r |c_n(f_p)|^2 < \infty$ i.e. $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S})$ and Fourier series satisfies $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1+n^2)^r |c_n|^2 < \infty$.

Elementary Properties

- $H^{r'}(\mathbb{S}) \subset H^r(\mathbb{S})$ if $r' \geq r$.
- H^r has the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1+n^2)^r c_n \overline{d_n}$$

- $f \in H^r(\mathbb{S})$ then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(1+n^2)^{r/2} c_n|^2 < \infty$$

- Completeness (Left as exercise)

What this got to do with derivatives? $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \subset H^r(\mathbb{S}) \forall r$ but in fact

$$\bigcap_{r>0} H^r(\mathbb{S}) = C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$$

this is not too hard to prove. We have to show that

$$\bigcap_{r>0} H^r(\mathbb{S}) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \quad C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \subset \bigcap_{r>0} H^r(\mathbb{S})$$

neither of which is too hard.

Exercise: Prove the two inclusions above.

11 Poisson Summation

This is really a correlation between fourier transforms and fourier series

For transforms:

$$\hat{f}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itz} f(t) dt, \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itz} \hat{f}(z) dz$$

for series ($g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ 2 π -periodic, $g \in L^1([-\pi, \pi])$).

$$c_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) e^{-itk} dt, \quad g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n e^{int}$$

If we assume g is C^∞ , then we can integrate by parts in c_k :

$$k^p c_k = i^p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \frac{d^p}{dt^p} e^{-itk} dt = (-i)^p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^p g}{dt^p} e^{-itk} dt \implies |k^p c_k| < c_p, \quad \forall k$$

for some constant c_p . If g is 2 π -periodic and C^∞ then $|c_k| < c_p/k^p \forall p$ and $g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives.

Theorem. If $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$g(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t + 2\pi k)$$

converges with all derivatives to a 2π -periodic function and the fourier coefficients $c_k(g) = \hat{f}(k)$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Formally

$$\frac{d^p g}{dt^p} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{\frac{d^p f}{dt^p}(t + 2\pi k)}_{\in S(\mathbb{R})}$$

So this converges if we can prove uniform convergence in the Schwartz functions. But we can prove this. We know that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |t^2 f(t)| < C$. Shift this and get $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |(t + 2\pi k)^2 f(t + 2\pi k)| < C$. If $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$, $|k| > 2$, then $|t + 2\pi k| \geq 2\pi|k - 1|$. So $\sup_{t \in [-\pi, \pi]} |k - 1|^2 |f(t + 2\pi k)| < C$ implies that $|f(t + 2\pi k)| \leq \frac{C}{|k - 1|^2}$.

Now the real meat of Poisson summation: What are the Fourier coefficients of g ? Well, $c_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) e^{-itk} dt$, since this is a uniformly convergent series we can write

$$c_k = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t + 2\pi p) e^{-itk} dt$$

Let $T = t + 2\pi p$, $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ be a change of variables, then we have

$$c_k = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi+2\pi p}^{\pi+2\pi p} f(T) e^{-i(T-2\pi p)k} dT = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi+2\pi p}^{\pi+2\pi p} f(T) e^{-iT k} dT$$

as p runs through the integers, the integrals domain becomes all of \mathbb{R} (the intervals $[-\pi + 2\pi p, \pi + 2\pi p]$ are a countable number of intervals that decompose \mathbb{R}). Thus

$$c_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(T) e^{-iT k} dT = \hat{f}(k)$$

So we get

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t + 2\pi k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) e^{-ikt}$$

□

Now we can do things like

$$g(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k)$$

Note: The actual **Poisson Summation Formula** is usually stated as

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} f(2\pi p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k)$$

In general the left side is a relation of classical geometry and the right is a statement of analysis.